INTERNATIONAL LAW
AND THE
USE OF FORCE BY
STATES

BY

IAN BROWNlie, Q.C., D.C.L., F.B.A.

CHICHELE PROFESSOR OF PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL
LAW IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD;
FELLOW OF ALL SOULS COLLEGE, OXFORD;
ASSOCIÉ DE L'INSTITUT DE DROIT INTERNATIONAL

OXFORD
AT THE CLARENDON PRESS
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

### PREFACE

v

### ABBREVIATIONS AND PERIODICALS REFERRED TO IN TEXT AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

xxi

### PART I

**AN HISTORICAL EXPOSITION OF THE LEGAL REGULATION OF THE USE OF FORCE BY STATES**

**INTRODUCTION**

I. THE HISTORICAL EXPERIENCE PRIOR TO 1815

1. Some Ancient Civilizations 3
2. Early Christian Doctrine 3
3. The Scholastics 5
4. Writers of the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Centuries and their Reflection of Changes in European Society 6
5. Probabilism and the Development of the European State System and Balance of Power, 1500–1648 7

II. THE CUSTOMARY LAW OF THE PERIOD 1815–1914. SOME NEW DEVELOPMENTS: ARBITRATION AND TREATIES FOR PACIFIC SETTLEMENT

1. Major Features of State Practice in the Period 1815 to 1914 19
2. The State of War Doctrine 26
3. Hostile Measures not Constituting War in the Formal Sense: Some Instances of State Practice, 1798–1920 28
4. Conclusions on the Definition and Significance of a 'State of War' in the Period 1798 to 1920 38
5. Legal Justifications for the Use of Force in the Classical Law: Customary Rules of the Nineteenth Century 40
6. The Practice of States in Relation to Intervention 44
7. Hostile Measures Short of War 45
8. Justifications for Resort to Force: Some Conclusions on the State of the Customary Law as it had developed by 1920 46
9. The Close of the Period 1815 to 1914: Retrospect and Prospect 49
# CONTENTS

## III. THE FIRST WORLD WAR, THE VERSAILLES AND OTHER TREATIES: WAR GUILT AND REPARATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The First World War. The Versailles and Other Treaties: War Guilt and Reparations</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## IV. THE COVENANT OF THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Covenant of the League of Nations: Its Genesis and Main Functions</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The 'Gaps' in the Covenant</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article 10 of the Covenant</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## V. ILLEGAL WAR, AGGRESSIVE WAR, AND AGGRESSION: THE MAJOR LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS OF THE PERIOD 1920 TO 1945

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Draft Treaty of Mutual Assistance</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Geneva Protocol, 1924</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Locarno Treaties of 1925</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolutions of the League Assembly in 1925 and 1927</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution of the Sixth International Conference of American States, 1928</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The General Treaty for the Renunciation of War, signed on 27 August 1928: Its Role in State Practice</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origins and Interpretation of the General Treaty</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Chaco Declaration of 1932</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further Pronouncements by Governments and Statesmen</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Anti-War Treaty of Non-Aggression and Conciliation, signed at Rio de Janeiro, 10 October 1933</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seventh International Conference of American States, Montevideo, 1933</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-American Conference for the Maintenance of Peace, Buenos Aires, 1936</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Statements of Principle in 1937</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eighth International Conference of American States, Lima, 1938</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treaties of Friendship and Security, of Guarantee and Neutrality, and of Non-Aggression, 1925-39</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Practice in the Period 1938-42</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## VI. NEW FOUNDATIONS: THE UNITED NATIONS CHARTER AND THE BASES OF THE LAW AFTER 1945

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The United Nations Charter</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## VII. USE OF FORCE AS A STATE DELICT: THE OBLIGATION TO MAKE REPARATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armistice Conditions and Peace Treaties, 1918-21</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Work of the League of Nations: some draft treaties and the settlement of the Greco-Bulgarian Dispute of 1925</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peace Treaties after the Second World War</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims Arising from the Suez Operations, 1956</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontier, Aerial, and Other Incidents: Intentional or Negligent Infliction of Harm by Armed Forces</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## VIII. CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE UNLAWFUL USE OF FORCE IN INTER-STATE RELATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Concept of Criminal Responsibility of States</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Concept of the Criminal Liability of Individuals responsible for the Unlawful use of force in Inter-State Relations</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Treaties, League Resolutions, and State Practice</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Law and the Pact of Paris</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions of Municipal Law Concerning Acts likely to cause War</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Wars of Aggression 1939-45: Allied Declarations on the Punishment of War Criminals and Other Opinions</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The International Conference on Military Trials, London, 26 June-8 August 1945</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical Problems</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTENTS

PART III

LEGAL JUSTIFICATIONS FOR THE USE OF FORCE IN THE MODERN LAW

INTRODUCTION

XI. PROBLEMS OF TRANSITION: THE LEGAL RÉGIME IN THE PERIOD 1920 TO 1930
1. The Nature of the Customary Law Recalled
2. The Covenant of the League of Nations, the Kellogg-Briand Pact, and Problems of Transition
3. The Legality of Reprisals and Pacific Blockade
4. The Question of Intervention
5. The Porter Convention
6. Stock Justifications appearing in Treaties in the Period 1920 to 1930

XII. THE RIGHT OF LEGITIMATE DEFENCE: DOCTRINE AND STATE PRACTICE IN THE PERIOD 1920 TO 1939
1. Doctrine and State Practice of the Period 1920 to 1929
2. The Kellogg-Briand Pact and the Reservations to it, in particular those relating to the Right of Legitimate Defence or Self-Defence
3. Determination of Necessity for Legitimate Defence
4. The Scope of the Reservation of the Right of Legitimate Defence
5. The British Reservation
6. The Monroe Doctrine
7. The Obligations of the Covenant
8. The Locarno Treaties
9. State Practice and Doctrine in the Period 1930 to 1939

XIII. THE RIGHT OF SELF-DEFENCE IN THE PERIOD AFTER THE SECOND WORLD WAR
1. Introduction
2. The Customary Law. The Question of the Forcible Protection of Rights
3. The Right of Anticipatory Self-Defence in the Customary Law
4. Some Reservations on the Customary Law in Respect of Anticipatory Self-Defence
5. The Proportionality Rule
6. The Right of Self-Defence under the Charter of the United Nations

X. CRIMES AGAINST PEACE: DEFINITION AND BASES OF RESPONSIBILITY
1. Introduction. Article 6, paragraph (a) of the Charter of the International Military Tribunal at Nuremberg
2. Preparation of Aggressive War
3. Participation in Planning Specific Wars of Aggression
4. Remaining in High Office with Knowledge of Plans for Wars of Aggression
5. Liability for Waging Aggressive War on Count Two
6. Formulation and Execution of Occupation Policy
7. Initiation of War
8. Count One: the Conspiracy Count
9. The Judgment of the International Military Tribunal for the Far East
10. Decisions of Tribunals exercising jurisdiction by virtue of Control Council Law No. 10
11. The Work of the International Law Commission and the Sixth Committee of the General Assembly
12. Elements of Confusion
13. Acts directed against other States and the motives for such acts: criteria of responsibility

IX. CHARGES OF CRIMES AGAINST PEACE ARISING OUT OF THE SECOND WORLD WAR
1. The Trial of German Major War Criminals
2. The Arguments of Dr. Jahrreiss et al.
3. The Opinion of the Tribunal on the Legal Basis of the Counts Charging Crimes against Peace
4. The Trial of Japanese Major War Criminals
5. Trials held under Control Council Law No. 10
6. Application in National Jurisdictions of the Concept of Crimes Against Peace
7. Provisions Relating to Crimes against Peace in the Peace Treaties
8. Crimes against Peace in Relation to Legislation on Treason, Collaboration, and Analogous Offences
9. Evidence that Crimes against Peace as Defined in the Charters of the International Military Tribunals at Nuremberg and Tokyo have been Accepted as a Part of Positive Laws since 1946
10. Affirmation of Article 6 of the Charter annexed to the London Agreement by United Nations Organs
11. General Acceptance as Law of the Principles of the Nuremberg Charter

XIII. THE RIGHT OF SELF-DEFENCE IN THE PERIOD AFTER THE SECOND WORLD WAR
1. Introduction
2. The Customary Law. The Question of the Forcible Protection of Rights
3. The Right of Anticipatory Self-Defence in the Customary Law
4. Some Reservations on the Customary Law in Respect of Anticipatory Self-Defence
5. The Proportionality Rule
6. The Right of Self-Defence under the Charter of the United Nations
CONTENTS

7. 'Against the territorial integrity or political independence of any State' 265

8. 'Or in any other manner inconsistent with the Purposes of the United Nations' 268

9. Article 2, paragraph 4, Article 51, and the Right of Self-Defence in the Customary Law 269

10. The Purpose and Meaning of Article 51. 270

11. The Relationship of Article 51 and the Right of Self-Defence in Customary Law 272

12. Does Article 51 Permit Anticipatory Self-Defence? 275

13. What is an Armed Attack? 278


XIV. SOME PROBLEMS RELATING TO SELF-HELP IN THE MODERN LAW 281

1. Introduction 281

2. The Exercise of Legal Rights and the Decision of the International Court of Justice in the Corfu Channel Case 283


4. The Protection of Nationals in the Period since 1920 292

5. The Status of this Right of Intervention in the Modern Law 298

6. Self-Defence on the High Seas: Claims to Jurisdiction on the Basis of Self-Defence 301

7. The Particular Problems of Self-Defence on the High Seas 305

XV. FORCIBLE ANTICIPATION OF A BREACH OF NEUTRALITY 309

1. Doctrine and State Practice 309

2. Breaches of the Rules of Maritime Neutrality 312

3. Some Conclusions 313

XVI. THE CONSENT OF STATES 317

1. Problems of a General Character 317

2. Treaties Conferring a Right to Intervene 318

3. Intervention in Civil War at the Request or with Consent of the Legitimate Government 321

XVII. INTERNATIONAL ACTION 328

1. Collective Defence and Defence of Other States 328

2. The Concept of a War of Sanction 332

XVIII. OTHER JUSTIFICATIONS FOR RESORT TO FORCE OF DOUBTFUL VALIDITY 338

1. Humanitarian Intervention 338

2. Armed Intervention to Enforce Provisions of a Peace Treaty 344

3. International Police Action 344

4. Veto in the Security Council 345

5. Chronic Disorder on the Territory of a Neighbouring State 346

6. Force to Execute an Arbitral Award or Judgment 347

7. Miscellaneous Justifications 349

PART IV

PROBLEMS OF A GENERAL NATURE RELATING TO THE USE OF FORCE

INTRODUCTION 350

XIX. THE QUESTION OF DEFINING AGGRESSION 351

1. Historical Origins and Development 351

2. Attempts to Define Aggression 351

3. Is a Definition Possible or Desirable? 355

APPENDIX I. A Selection of Terms of Art in Treaties of Offensive Alliance, Mutual Assistance, or Security, 1920-54 359

APPENDIX II. Text of the Conventions for the Definition of Aggression signed in London, 3, 4, and 5 July 1933 360

XX. THE USE OR THREAT OF FORCE AND THE CONCEPT OF ARMED ATTACK 361

1. The Use of Force 361

2. The Threat of Force 364

3. The Concept of Armed Attack 365

XXI. SOME PROBLEMS OF RESPONSIBILITY 369

1. Complicity in Breaches of the Peace: Indirect Aggression 369

2. Problems Relating to Self-Defence 372

3. Special Cases of Necessity 376

4. Animus Aggressionis and Other Questions 377