



Yves Haeck,
Oswaldo Ruiz-Chiriboga, Clara Burbano-Herrera (eds.)

The Inter-American
Court of Human Rights:
Theory and Practice,
Present and Future



intersentia

THE INTER-AMERICAN
COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS:
THEORY AND PRACTICE,
PRESENT AND FUTURE

Yves HAECK

Oswaldo RUIZ-CHIRIBOGA – Clara BURBANO-HERRERA
(eds.)



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

CONTENTS

<i>Foreword by Pablo Saavedra Alessandri</i>	vii
--	-----

PART I. THE REASONING OF THE COURT

The Inter-American Court of Human Rights and the Interpretive Method of External Referencing: Regional Consensus v. Universality

Marijke DE PAUW	3
Abstract	3
1. Introduction	4
2. Interpreting the American Convention on Human Rights	6
2.1. Specific Rules on Treaty Interpretation	6
2.2. The Court's Methods of Interpretation	7
3. The Use of External Sources as an Interpretive Tool	10
3.1. Cross-References to Soft Law	11
3.2. Cross-References to Non-Ratified Treaties	13
3.3. Convergence with International Humanitarian Law	15
4. Legitimacy of Cross-Referencing	16
4.1. Use or Abuse of External Sources?	16
4.2. References to Non-Binding External Instruments	18
5. Universalism v. Regional Consensus	20
6. Conclusion	23

An Overview of the Inter-American Court's Evaluation of Evidence

Álvaro PAÚL	25
Abstract	25
1. Introduction	25
2. Three Evidentiary Features of the Court	26
2.1. Autonomy	26
2.2. Informality	28
2.3. Active Role	30
3. Freedom to Admit Evidence and its Exceptions	32
3.1. Flexible Admissibility Rules	32
3.2. Two Mandatory Exclusionary Rules	34
4. Weighing Evidence According to <i>Sana Crítica</i>	34

5.	Burden of Proof	36
5.1.	General Issues	36
5.2.	<i>Actori Incumbit Probatio</i> – The Basic Rule	37
5.3.	Duty to Cooperate	37
5.4.	Possible Rationales for Shifting the Burden	38
5.5.	Exceptions to the General Rule	39
6.	Specific Presumptions Relied on by the Court	41
6.1.	Preliminary Issues	41
6.2.	Presumptions Based on the State’s Stance During Proceedings ...	41
6.2.1.	Does silence imply consent?	41
6.2.2.	Presumption against the state refusing to provide evidence .	42
6.2.3.	Regarding the exhaustion of domestic remedies	43
6.3.	Particular <i>Prima Facie</i> Violations	43
6.4.	Damages and Costs	44
6.5.	Other Presumptions Applied by the Court	45
7.	The Court’s Standards of Proof	45
7.1.	Preliminary Issues	45
7.2.	Absence of a Unique Standard before the Court	46
7.2.1.	Preliminary issues	46
7.2.2.	Standard for proving widespread human rights violations ..	47
7.2.3.	The IACtHR’s low standard of proof	48
8.	Conclusions	49

Revision Procedures: Revisiting the Case of *Mapiripán Massacre* v. *Colombia*

	Geneviève SÄUBERLI	51
	Abstract	51
1.	Introduction	52
2.	Revisions in Principle and Practice	54
2.1.	The Principles of Finality, <i>Res Judicata</i> and the Administration of International Justice	54
2.2.	Applications for Revisions before the IACtHR	56
2.2.1.	The case of <i>Neira Alegría v. Peru</i>	57
2.2.2.	The case of <i>Genie Lacayo v. Nicaragua</i>	57
2.2.3.	The case of <i>Juan Humberto Sánchez v. Honduras</i>	59
2.2.4.	The case of <i>Cantoral Huamaní and García Santa Cruz v. Peru</i>	59
2.2.5.	The case of the <i>Massacre of Mapiripán v. Colombia</i>	60
2.3.	The Power of Revision of the IACtHR	61
3.	Conditions for Revision and the <i>Mapiripán Massacre</i>	62
4.	Investigations in Cases of Gross Violations of Human Rights	66
5.	Conclusion	70

PART II. PROCEDURAL ASPECTS

Who Pays the Bill? Possibilities and Limitations of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights Legal Assistance Fund

	Diana CONTRERAS-GARDUÑO, Kristin XUEQIN WU and Leo ZWAAK	75
	Abstract	75
1.	Introduction	76
2.	The Operational Procedure of the Assistance Fund	78
3.	Legal Aid in International Human Rights Law	81
3.1.	Legal Aid under the European Convention on Human Rights ...	82
3.1.1.	Legal aid before the adoption of Protocol 11	83
3.1.2.	Legal aid after the adoption of Protocol 11	86
3.2.	Legal Aid under the African Charter of Human and Peoples’ Rights	89
4.	Legal Aid in International Criminal Law	91
4.1.	Legal Aid for the Indigent Suspect and the Accused	91
4.2.	Legal Aid for Victims	94
4.2.1.	Application process	95
4.2.2.	Determination of means of the victims	96
5.	Implications	97
5.1.	Implication for the State	97
5.2.	Implications for the Development of Human Rights Law	97
5.3.	Implications for the Actors before the System	99
6.	Conclusions and Recommendations	100

The *Amicus Curiae* in the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (1982–2013)

	Francisco J. RIVERA JUARISTI	103
	Abstract	103
1.	Introduction	104
2.	<i>Amici Curiae</i>	105
2.1.	Development of the <i>Amici Curiae</i>	106
2.2.	Role and Contribution of <i>Amici Curiae</i> before the IACtHR	108
3.	Normative Framework for Participation of <i>Amici Curiae</i> before the IACtHR	109
3.1.	The American Convention on Human Rights and the Court’s Statute	109
3.2.	The Court’s Rules of Procedure	110
3.3.	Jurisprudential Developments	114
3.4.	Criteria to Reject <i>Amici Curiae</i> Participation before the IACtHR’s Written Proceedings	115

3.4.1. Impartiality	115
3.4.2. Timeliness and language	117
3.4.3. Uselessness	120
3.4.4. Identity	120
3.4.5. Unknown criteria	121
3.5. Criteria to Reject <i>Amici Curiae</i> Participation before the IACtHR's Oral Proceedings	122
3.6. Identification of Authorship and Description of Content	123
3.7. Incorporation into Body of Evidence	126
4. Conclusion and Recommendations	129

PART III. CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS

Defining the Scope of the Provisions Against the Use of Illegitimate Coerced Statements in the Inter-American System

Oswaldo R. RUIZ-CHIRIBOGA	135
Abstract	135
1. Introduction	135
2. To Which Type of Proceedings are Articles 8(1), 8(2)(g), 8(3) ACHR and 10 IACPPT Applicable?	138
2.1. Article 8(1) ACHR	139
2.2. Article 8(2) ACHR	139
2.3. Article 8(3) ACHR	142
2.4. Article 10 IACPPT	144
2.5. Intermediate Conclusion on the Types of Proceedings	146
3. Who is the Right-Holder of Each Provision?	146
3.1. Article 8(1) ACHR	146
3.2. Articles 8(2)(g) and 8(3) ACHR	148
3.3. Article 10 IACPPT	149
3.4. Intermediate Conclusion on the Right-Holders	150
4. Is Article 10 IACPPT Applicable only to Torture Cases or does It also Cover Cruel, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment?	151
4.1. CIDT Statements in Legal Proceedings	152
4.2. Article 10 IACPPT Applies only to Torture Evidence	154
4.3. Intermediate Conclusion on the Scope of Article 10 IACPPT	155
5. What Do the Expressions "Coercion" (Article 8(3) ACHR) and "Not to be Compelled" (Article 8(2)(g)) Cover?	156
6. What Do the Expressions "Admissible as Evidence" (Article 10 IACPPT) and "Valid" (Article 8(3) ACHR) Mean?	161
7. What does the Expression "Verified" (Article 10 IACPPT) Mean?	163
7.1. The Confessions Rendered by Messrs Cabrera and Montiel	163

7.2. Who has to Verify that a Statement was Obtained through Torture or Other Forms of Illegitimate Coercion and When?	165
7.3. Who Bears the Burden of Proof?	167
8. Conclusion	172

Medical Negligence and International Human Rights Adjudication. Procedural Obligation in Medical Negligence Cases under the American Convention on Human Rights and the European Convention on Human Rights

Krešimir KAMBER	175
Abstract	175
1. Introduction	176
2. The Problem of Medical Negligence Adjudication	178
3. Procedural Obligation in Medical Negligence Cases in the Case-Law of the ECtHR	183
4. Procedural Obligation in Medical Negligence Cases in the Case-Law of the IACtHR	187
5. Conclusion	190

Use of Force. Requirements, Limitations and Pending Challenges from the Perspective of the Jurisprudence of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Juana María IBÁÑEZ RIVAS	193
Abstract	193
1. Introduction	194
2. Legal-Normative Grounds and Factual Assumptions Relied upon by the Inter-American Court when Developing and Implementing Its Jurisprudence Regarding the Use of Force	195
2.1. Legal-Normative Grounds Supporting the Development and Implementation of the Court's Considerations Regarding the Use of Lethal Force	196
2.2. Factual Assumptions upon Which the Inter-American Court has Developed and Applied the Standards on the Use of Lethal Force: A Review from the Perspective of the Proven Facts in the Emblematic Cases on the Issue	199
2.2.1. Breakdown of order in prisons	200
2.2.2. Disturbances during states of emergency	201
2.2.3. Detentions, captures and break-ins	201
3. The State's Obligations over Time for the Use of Lethal Force to Be in Accordance with the American Convention and when Its International Responsibility has Been Declared	204

3.1. Fundamental Moments that Bind the State with Respect to the Use of Lethal Force.....	204
3.1.1. Preventive actions	204
3.1.2. Actions accompanying the events.....	206
3.1.3. Actions subsequent to the events.....	208
3.2. Measures Taken Regarding the Use of Lethal Force by States Responsible for Its Use in Violation of the ACHR: The Challenge Posed by Reparations.....	210
4. Conclusion	215

Judicial Protection in States of Emergency. An Analysis of the Amplitude of Judicial Protection of Fundamental Rights During the Application of Derogations

Aziz Tuffi SALIBA and Tainá GARCIA MAIA.....	217
Abstract	217
1. Introduction	218
2. Suspension of Guarantees and Limitations on Human Rights.....	220
2.1. Restrictions on the Interpretation of Provisions that Permit Limitations and Derogations.....	220
2.2. Definition of and Differences between Limitations and Derogations	222
2.2.1. Limitations.....	222
2.2.2. Derogations	227
2.3. Margin of Appreciation Doctrine	232
3. Judicial Guarantees Essential for the Protection of Non-Derogable Rights	234
4. Conclusion	237

PART IV. ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, AND CULTURAL RIGHTS

Yakye Axa v. Paraguay: Upholding and Framing the Human Right to Water

Scott McKENZIE.....	241
Abstract	241
1. Introduction	242
2. Paraguay Violates the Yakye Axa's Rights	242
3. The Establishment and Contours of the Human Right to Water	245
4. The Yakye Axa and the Human Right to Water	251
5. Conclusion	257

Social, Economic, and Cultural Rights. The Inter-American Court at a Crossroads

Thomas ANTKOWIAK	259
Abstract	259
1. Introduction	260
1.1. The American Convention and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Background and Negotiating History	260
1.2. Protocol of San Salvador.....	262
2. Scope of Protection of Article 26.....	263
2.1. Which Rights Protected? The OAS Charter and Its "Implicit" Rights.....	263
2.2. Progressive Development and Justiciability: The Judgments of the Inter-American Court	266
3. Protection of Social, Economic, and Cultural Rights by Other Means ..	270
3.1. <i>Vida Digna</i> and Conditions for a "Dignified Life"	270
3.2. Rights to Traditional Lands.....	272
3.3. Freedom of Association and Trade Unions.....	273
3.4. Rights to Pensions and Social Security through Judicial Protection and Property.....	274
3.5. Social, Cultural, and Economic Remedies.....	274
3.6. Concerns with the Court's Current Approach.....	275
4. Conclusion	276

Impact of the Reparations Ordered by the Inter-American Court of Human Rights and Contributions to the Justiciable Nature of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

Manuel E. VENTURA ROBLES	277
Abstract	277
1. Introduction	278
2. Reparations in the Case Law of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights	278
3. Impact of the Case Law of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights ..	280
4. The Justiciable Nature of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in the Case Law of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights	290
5. Conclusions.....	301

PART V. REPARATIONS AND PROVISIONAL MEASURES

Sacred Fire as Healing. Psychosocial Rehabilitation and Indigenous Peoples in the Inter-American Court's Judgments

Gina DONOSO	307
Abstract	307
1. Introduction	308
2. Rehabilitation and Cross-Cultural Reparation Processes	310
2.1. Embracing Challenges in Interdisciplinary Reparation Processes	312
2.1.1. Legal shortcomings	312
2.1.2. Mental health and psychosocial limitations	315
2.2. Reparation as a Process	318
2.3. Potential Risks	320
3. Psychosocial and Cross-Cultural Approaches in Collective Reparation Processes: The Inter-American Court of Human Rights ...	322
3.1. Culture Versus Legal Systems	324
3.2. Psychological Accompaniment for Compensation	326
3.3. Psychological Rehabilitation	327
3.4. Expert Witnesses	330
3.5. Empowerment of Communities	332
3.6. Community Participation and Leadership	333
4. Conclusions	334

Punitive Damages and the Principle of Full Reparation in the Case Law of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Agostina N. CICHERO and Sebastián A. GREEN MARTÍNEZ	337
Abstract	337
1. Introduction	338
2. The Principle of "Fair Compensation" and Its Relation to the Principle of Full Reparation	340
3. Punitive Damages as a Rule of Domestic Legal Systems	341
3.1. <i>Velásquez Rodríguez v. Honduras</i> (1988)	341
3.2. <i>Godínez Cruz v. Honduras</i> (1989)	342
3.3. <i>Garrido and Baigorria v. Argentina</i> (1998)	343
4. International Aggravated Responsibility and Punitive Damages <i>Lato</i> <i>Sensu</i>	344
4.1. <i>Myrna Mack Chang v. Guatemala</i> (2003)	345
4.2. <i>Masacre de Plan de Sánchez v. Guatemala</i> (2004)	347
4.3. <i>Gómez Palomino v. Peru</i> (2005)	349
4.4. <i>Goiburú et al. v. Paraguay</i> (2006)	350

5. Recent Decisions	351
6. Conclusion	353

The Inter-American Court of Human Rights and Its Role in Preventing Violations of Human Rights through Provisional Measures

Clara BURBANO HERRERA	355
Abstract	355
1. Introduction	355
2. How have Provisional Measures Been Created and What is Their Legal Character?	357
3. Requirements Which have to be Met Before Provisional Measures can be Adopted	360
4. Factual Circumstances in Which Provisional Measures have been Adopted	362
5. Means Used by States to Comply with Provisional Measures	363
6. Problems Regarding the Effectiveness of Provisional Measures	365
6.1. Implementation Versus Effectiveness	365
6.2. Provisional Measures Versus Permanent Situations	366
6.3. Lack of Confidence in the Domestic Bodies of Protection	367
6.4. Lack of Political Will	369
6.5. Lack of Interest of the Political Organ	371
7. Strategies Developed by the Court to Improve the Effectivity of Provisional Measures	372
8. Some Conclusions	374

PART VI. ENFORCED DISAPPEARANCES AND AMNESTY LAWS

The Contribution of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights and Other International Human Rights Bodies to the Struggle Against Enforced Disappearance

Gabriella CITRONI	379
Abstract	379
1. Introduction	380
2. The Prohibition of Enforced Disappearance and the Obligation to Investigate and Punish Those Responsible as <i>Jus Cogens</i>	381
3. Confronting Enforced Disappearances in an Integral Manner	385
4. The Right to Juridical Personality and Enforced Disappearances	390
5. The Burden of Proof and Presumptions in Enforced Disappearance Cases <i>Vis-à-vis</i> the Right to Humane Treatment of the Direct Victim .	393
6. Measures of Reparation in Cases of Enforced Disappearance	395

7. Enforced Disappearances and the Wrongful Removal of Children . . . 398
 8. Conclusion 401

Building Truth and Moving Justice. The Inter-American Court and the Forcible Disappearance of Children

Jeffrey DAVIS and Micaela PEREZ FERRERO 403

- Abstract 403
 1. Introduction 404
 2. A Comprehensive View of Post-Conflict Justice 405
 3. Truth 406
 4. The Vindication of Testifying 410
 5. The Inter-American Court Promotes Accountability 412
 5.1. Emblematic Cases 412
 5.2. Violations of Human Rights Law 413
 5.3. Dismantling Mechanisms of Impunity 415
 6. Remedies 416
 7. Conclusion 417

The Move Towards a Victim-Centred Concept of Criminal Law and the “Criminalization” of Inter-American Human Rights Law. A Case of Human Rights Law Devouring Itself?

Frédéric MÉGRET and Jean-Paul S. CALDERÓN 419

- Abstract 419
 1. Introduction 420
 2. The IACtHR and the “Criminalization” of Inter-American Human Rights Law: Foundations 423
 2.1. The Right to an Effective Remedy, Reparations and Prosecutions 423
 2.2. Supervising Domestic Criminal Law 425
 2.3. Characterizing Certain Human Rights Violations as Crimes of the State 427
 3. The Challenge of Mechanisms Traditionally Moderating Criminal Repression 428
 3.1. The Exclusion of Amnesty Laws 428
 3.2. Skepticism about Prescription 432
 3.3. Cavalier Attitude Towards *Non Bis In Idem* 437
 4. Victim-Centredness in Criminal Law: Liberal or Illiberal? 437

Amnesty Laws in the Case-Law of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Patricio GALELLA 443

Abstract 443

1. Introduction 443
 2. Amnesty Laws in International Law 444
 3. Amnesty Laws and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights 447
 4. Conclusion 455

Rights Cast into Oblivion? Amnesties in the Case-Law of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Nikolas KYRIAKOU 457

- Abstract 457
 1. Introduction 457
 2. Amnesties: The Current Academic Debate 461
 3. International Practice and Jurisprudence on Amnesties 464
 3.1. Human Rights Committee 464
 3.2. Inter-American Human Rights System 467
 4. Conclusion 473

PART VII. GROUPS IN VULNERABILITY

Jurisprudence in a Political Vortex. The Right of Indigenous Peoples to Give or Withhold Consent to Investment and Development Projects – The Implementation of *Saramaka v. Suriname*

Monica YRIART 477

- Abstract 477
 1. The Indigenous Right to Consent and the Right to Collective Territory 478
 1.1. Expanding on *Saramaka v. Suriname*: The UNDRIP Mandate for All Agencies of the United Nations, Including the Organization of the American States (OAS) 483
 1.2. A New “Consent” Case Enters the Inter-American System 485
 1.3. The Principle of Indigenous Consent: Policy or Politics for the Organization of American States 486
 1.4. Conclusion to Part 1 488
 2. The Constitutional Court of Colombia, the Supreme Court of Peru and the Attorney General of Argentina 489
 3. The Legal Value of the Jurisprudence of the IACtHR and *Saramaka v. Suriname* in the Constitutional Orders of Colombia, Peru and Argentina 493
 3.1. The Juridical Status and Effect of Inter-American Jurisprudence in Peru 494
 3.2. The Juridical Status and Effect of Inter-American Jurisprudence in Argentina 495

3.3.	The Juridical Status and Effect of Inter-American Jurisprudence in Colombia	495
3.4.	Conclusion to Part 3	496
4.	<i>Saramaka v. Suriname</i> and the Right to Consent in Colombia	497
4.1.	The President of the Republic, the Council of Ministers, the Armed Forces and the Colombian Constitutional Court: An Inter-play of Powers regarding the Holding in <i>Saramaka v. Suriname</i>	497
4.2.	The Adoption of <i>Saramaka v. Suriname</i> by the Constitutional Court of Colombia	499
4.3.	The Operation of the Right to Consent in Formal Prior Consultation Proceedings	501
4.4.	The “Paralysis” in Prior Consultations: Structural Problems and Problems of Legal Definition.	502
4.5.	The Exploitation of Indigenous Territories and Peoples in Colombia.	504
4.6.	Conclusion with Respect to Part 4: Colombia	505
5.	<i>Saramaka v. Suriname</i> and the Right to Consent in Peru	507
5.1.	<i>Saramaka</i> is Hard Law Facing the Opposition of All the Constituted Powers of Peru but One	507
5.2.	The Law on Prior Consultation and Its Regulations: An Interpretation to Achieve Constitutionality and Conventionality	510
5.3.	The Position of the Constitutional Tribunal of Peru.	513
5.3.1.	Rejecting the saving interpretation.	513
5.3.2.	Protecting indigenous territories from mass commercial titling by the Executive Branch to implement the Peru-USA Free Trade Agreement.	514
5.3.3.	Rejecting <i>Saramaka v. Suriname</i> : “There is no indigenous veto”	514
5.4.	The Position of the Permanent Constitutional and Social Chamber of the Supreme Court of Justice	516
5.5.	Conclusion with Respect to Part 5: Peru	518
6.	<i>Saramaka v. Suriname</i> and the Right to Consent in Argentina	520
6.1.	Argentina’s Indigenous Peoples and the Exploitation of Natural Resources	520
6.2.	The Absence of Law on Prior Consultation and Consent in Argentina	521
6.3.	The Absence of Indigenous Human Rights Law in Argentina: The Legal History and the Social and Institutional Manifestations.	522
6.3.1.	The 1994 Constitutional Convention: “Let us resolve not to codify a new catalogue of rights”	522
6.3.2.	Mass legal evictions of indigenous communities	524

6.3.3.	In lieu of legislating to implement international and constitutional indigenous rights, Argentina seeks to privatize the public law status of indigenous peoples and territories	526
6.3.4.	The Supreme Court of Justice denies constitutional jurisdiction to indigenous rights cases and declines to write on the subject of the law of indigenous human rights.	528
6.3.5.	Thirty-three Kolla and Atakama communities demand the right to grant or deny consent to large-scale mining of lithium	530
6.3.6.	Facing Inter-American review, the Supreme Court of Justice and the Attorney General of the Argentine Nation rehabilitate the quality of indigenous rights jurisprudence.	532
6.3.7.	A new Attorney General applies the indigenous rights of Inter-American jurisprudence	533
6.4.	Conclusion with Respect to Part 6: Argentina	534
6.5.	Postscript on Argentina: Significant New Developments	537
6.5.1.	The regulation of indigenous peoples and territories is removed from the new Civil and Commercial Code in response to nationwide protests: new legislation promised.	537
6.5.2.	Facing Inter-American review and a New Attorney General, will the Supreme Court of Justice rehabilitate the quality of indigenous rights jurisprudence?	539
7.	Conclusion	540
	Juvenile Criminal Justice before the Inter-American Court of Human Rights. Aims and Limitations of the Imprisonment of Children	
	Valeska DAVID and Julie FRASER.	547
	Abstract	547
1.	Introduction	548
2.	Special Character of Juvenile Justice	549
3.	Comparative Analysis of International, Regional and National Practices regarding Juvenile Justice and Imprisonment.	551
3.1.	International Human Rights Standards for Juvenile Justice.	552
3.2.	European Practices regarding Sentencing and Juvenile Justice.	554
3.3.	Practices regarding Sentencing and Juvenile Justice Across the Americas	558
4.	Evolution of Juvenile Justice by the IACtHR	560
5.	The IACtHR Decision in <i>Mendoza</i> : Prohibiting Life Imprisonment for Juveniles?	564
5.1.	The Right to Liberty and the Review of Custodial Measures	565

5.2. The Aim of Re-socialisation: Proportionality in Regard to the Purpose of Criminal Sentences	566
5.3. The Prohibition of Cruel, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment and the Proportionality of the Punishment	566
6. Juveniles' Culpability, the Aim of Reintegration and the Special Protection of Children	568
7. Conclusions: Towards the Human Rights Prohibition on Life Imprisonment for Juveniles	571

The Case of the *Kichwa Peoples of the Sarayaku v. Ecuador*.

Constructing a Right to Consultation and to Cultural Identity?

Geneviève SÄUBERLI

Abstract	573
1. Introduction	574
2. Facts of the Case	575
3. The Collective Right to Property	576
4. The Right to Consultation	578
5. The Right to Cultural Identity	582
6. Practical Application	586
6.1. Legal Implications	586
6.2. Consultation Procedures	587
7. Conclusion	591

The Treatment of Irregular Migrants in the Inter-American Human Rights and European Union Case-Law. Two Parallel Lines may even Meet

Salvatore Fabio NICOLOSI

Abstract	593
1. Irregular Migrants Between Need for Protection and Criminalisation	594
2. The Treatment of Irregular Migrants in the Case Law of the Inter-American Court	597
2.1. Setting the Background: The Advisory Opinion on the Conditions and Rights of Undocumented Migrants	597
2.2. The Case of <i>Vélez Loor v. Panama</i> and the Increased Protection of Migrant Rights	598
2.2.1. Factual background	599
2.2.2. Migrants' vulnerability and abusive detention	600
2.2.3. Adjudging migrant rights violations	601
3. The Influential Impact of the Ruling in <i>Vélez Loor v. Panama</i> Outside the Inter-American System	604
3.1. <i>Vélez Loor v. Panama</i> : An Anticipation of the EU Court of Justice's Ruling in <i>Hassen El Dridi</i> ?	605

3.2. The EU Court of Justice's Further Clarifications on the Criminalisation of Irregular Migration in Its Subsequent Case Law	609
4. Different Approaches, Same Guarantees to Irregular Migrants' Rights in the Judicial Discourse?	611

Sexual Orientation and Parenthood. A Comparative Analysis of the Case Law of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Human Rights

Elena FALLETTI

Abstract	613
1. Introduction	613
2. The European Court of Human Rights Case Law about Sexual Orientation and Discrimination	615
2.1. Non-Discrimination	615
2.2. Best Interest of the Child	617
2.3. Family Life	618
3. The Case Decided by the Inter-American Court of Human Rights: <i>Atala Riffo and Daughters v. Chile</i>	619
4. The Proceeding in Front of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights	620
5. The Influence of the ECtHR in the <i>Atala Riffo v. Chile</i> Case	623
6. Specific Measures Ordered by the IACtHR in the Enforcement of Its Decision	625
7. Conclusions	627

What a 'Private Life' Means for Women

Ciara O'CONNELL

Abstract	629
1. Introduction	629
2. Right to Privacy and Right to Private Life	630
2.1. The Right to Privacy, Generally	631
2.2. The Right to Privacy for Women	633
3. Women in the Private Sphere	635
3.1. Feminist Legal Theory and the Private Sphere	636
3.2. Women in the Private Sphere: Looking Closer	637
4. What a Private Life Means for Women, now	639
4.1. <i>Artavia Murillo et al. v. Costa Rica</i>	639
5. Conclusion	643

PART VIII. THE COURT AND NATIONAL JUDGES AND TRIBUNALS

Chronicle of a Fashionable Theory in Latin America.**Decoding the Doctrinal Discourse on Conventionality Control**

Laurence BURGORGUE-LARSEN 647

Abstract 647

1. Introduction 648
2. Chronicle of a Birth Foretold 649
 - 2.1. The Judicial Waltz in Three-Quarter Time 649
 - 2.2. Construction of a “Theory” of Conventionality Control 653
 - 2.3. A Diversified Implementation 661
3. Chronicle of a *Controversial* Doctrinal Analysis 663
 - 3.1. Benevolent Doctrine or the “Open Constitutionalism” School ... 664
 - 3.1.1. Enthusiastic authors 665
 - 3.1.2. Circumspect authors 669
 - 3.2. Critical Doctrine 671
 - 3.2.1. The sociological school 672
 - 3.2.2. The liberal school 673
4. Conclusion 676

The *Radilla-Pacheco v. Mexico* Case. A Paradigmatic Shift Towards Conventionality Control in Mexico

Eric TARDIF 677

Abstract 677

1. Introduction 677
2. Facts of the Case and Decision of the Inter-American Court 678
3. The Context: A Profound Constitutional Reform on Human Rights ... 680
4. The Posture Adopted by Mexico with Regard to the *Radilla* Judgment. 685
5. Consequence: Conventionality Control in Mexico 688
6. Conclusions and Epilogue 691

The Latin American Judicial Dialogue. A Two-Way Street Towards Effective Protection

Paola Andrea ACOSTA ALVARADO 693

Abstract 693

1. Introduction 693
2. What is Inter-Judicial Dialogue? 695
3. The Factors Allowing Dialogue 697
 - 3.1. The Legal Context 697
 - 3.2. The Normative Frame 698

- 3.3. The Judicial Tools 704
4. The Results of Dialogue 706
5. Conclusions 708

PART IX. INTERACTION BETWEEN THE INTER-AMERICAN COURT AND OTHER INTERNATIONAL COURTS

The Inter-American Court and the International Criminal Court. Transjudicial Communication, Boundaries and Opportunities

Rosmerlin ESTUPIÑAN-SILVA 715

Abstract 715

1. Introduction 716
2. The Transjudicial Communication Boundaries 718
 - 2.1. The Conventional Framework 719
 - 2.1.1. The flexible framework of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights 719
 - 2.1.2. The tighter borders of the International Criminal Court ... 720
 - 2.2. The Monologue in Principles and Context 722
 - 2.2.1. Common principles under construction 722
 - 2.2.2. From contexts of human rights violations to international crimes 724
 - 2.3. Dialogue Through Fundamental Rights in Proceedings 729
 - 2.3.1. Fundamental rights of the accused 730
 - 2.3.2. Fundamental rights of victims 733
3. Conclusion 736

Inter-American Court of Human Rights and European Court of Human Rights. From Observation to Interaction on Human Rights

Cristiana DOMÍNGUEZ 739

Abstract 739

1. Introduction 740
 2. Inter-American Court of Human Rights 741
 - 2.1. Quantitative Aspects 741
 - 2.2. Legal Aspects 742
 3. European Court of Human Rights 745
 - 3.1. Quantitative Aspects 745
 - 3.2. Legal Aspects 746
 4. Conclusions 749
- Annex 1 750
 Annex 2 753
 Annex 3 762

The Inter-American Court of Human Rights' Positive Obligations Doctrine. Between Unidirectional Influence and Judicial Dialogue

Martín Nicolás MONTOYA CÉSPEDES.....	765
Abstract	765
1. Introduction	765
2. The IACtHR'S Positive Obligations Doctrine	768
2.1. Transversal Positive Obligations	769
2.1.1. Investigation, punishment and reparation for human rights violations	770
2.1.2. The rights of the child	772
2.1.3. The conventionality control	773
2.2. Specific Positive Obligations	775
2.2.1. Intrinsic human rights	775
2.2.2. Rights of individuals in society	779
3. From Unidirectional Influence to Judicial Dialogue on Positive Obligations?	784
4. Concluding Remarks	790

PART X. REFORMING THE INTER-AMERICAN SYSTEM

Strengthening or Straining the Inter-American System on Human Rights

Claudia MARTIN and Diego RODRÍGUEZ-PINZÓN.....	795
Abstract	795
1. Introduction	795
2. The Process of 1994–2010	800
3. The Results	804
4. The ' <i>Coup d'état</i> ' in the Inter-American System: A Failed One (for now).....	810
5. The Struggle for Its Autonomy: The Adoption of Amendments to Its Regulations, Its Strategic Plan and Other Practices.....	816
6. General Appraisal of the Process of Reflection.....	819
<i>About the Editors</i>	823
<i>About the Contributors</i>	825